TRIPOLAR NERVE CUFF RECORDING: STIMULUS ARTIFACT, EMG, AND THE RECORDED NERVE SIGNAL

Johannes Jan Struijk, *Member, IEEE***, and Morten Thomsen,** *Student Member, IEEE* **Aalborg University,** DK-9220 **Aalborg,** DENMARK

ABSTRACT

Properties of nerve cuff recording electrodes were analyzed. Tripolar cuff electrodes have to be described essentially different for (propagating) nerve signals inside the cuff, and electrical muscle activity and stimulus artifacts arising **from** sources outside the cuff. It was experimentally shown that the signals originating outside the cuff are differently **and** much stronger influenced by the addition *of* a balancing resistor to the outer cuff electrodes than the nerve signal.

INTRODUC'IION

The use of tripolar electrode configurations for the rejection of the electromyogram (EMG) was an important step in the optimization of whole nerve recordings of the electroneurogram *(ENG)* [l]. But even with tripolar configurations high **EMG** signals and stimulus artifacts can contaminate the signal **[2,3].** A further optimization of cuff electrodes may take advantage *of* the different modes of operation of the cuff *for* signals originating internally and externally to the cuff. In this paper we show that for nerve signds the cuff electrode can be regarded **as** a bandpass filter whereas signals from external origin are linearized inside the cuff. Balancing the cuff within certain limits with an external potentiometer does therefore strongly affect the extemal signal with only a slight change of the (internal) nerve signal.

THEORY

For internal signals the same (propagating) signal shows up at the different contacts in the cuff at different times. Consider a straight nerve fiber oriented in parallel with the x -axis and a number **(N)** electrodes at the x-positions x_i , $j=1,..., N$. Let $f=f(t)$ be the nerve signal as observed by an electrode at **x=O,** Then, if **this** signal propagates with a constant velocity ν in the positive x-direction, the signal at electrode j will be $f_i(t)=f(t-x_i/v)$. Let $g=g(t)$ be a linear combination of the f_i such that $g(t) = \sum a_i f(t-x_i/v)$, where a_j , N j= 1

j=l, ..., **N,** are the weights for each of the electrodes. In the frequency domain this reads **as** G(io)=H(iw)F(iw), where F and G are Fourier transforms *off* and g, and **H** is given by:

(1.)
$$
H(i\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \exp(-i\omega x_j/\nu)
$$

For an ideal tripolar cuff, with $x_1=0$, $x_2=-d$ and $x_3=+d$, and with weight factors $a_1=1$, $x_2=x_3=-\frac{1}{2}$ the modulus of (1.) is: $\text{IH}_{\text{tripolar}}=1-\cos(\omega d/\nu)$. The tripolar cuff can thus be regarded **as** a signal velocity dependent bandpass filter (fig. 1). The weight factors are dependent on a.o., the electrode impedances and the way the electrodes are interconnected.

Figure 1 Transfer function \H(f)\ for the tripolar conjlguration for different nerve signal velocities

If the maximum amplitude density is assumed to be at a frequency f_{max} then from (1.) the optimum electrode separation d_{opt} can be calculated. For the given tripole: $d_{opt}=v/2f_{max}$, $(e.g., f_{max}=2000 \text{ Hz}, v=50 \text{ m/s}, \text{ then } d_{opt}=10 \text{ mm}).$

External signals are seen by all contacts in the cuff at the **same** instant. Here the recorded signal is a linear combina-

*Figure 2 A field outside the cuff (V_{external}) and the result*ing linear field inside the cuff (V_{internal}). A balancing potenti*ometer is added to the outer electrodes.*

Ideally, if the **cuff** diameter is small compared with the length, the **cuff** linearizes the external field (note that beCause of the electrode impedances -not drawn- the cuff **is by** no means short-circuited if the outer electrodes are connected). For a perfectly symmetrical tripolar configuration (including the electrode impedances) the signal of any external source will vanish. Any asymmetry, or capacitive coupling (also by the wires) will destroy this perfect attenuation. But part of the asymmetry may **be** reduced by adding a balancing potentiometer to the outer electrodes *(see* fig. 2). Since this adds noise with an rms value of 9.3 $nV/\sqrt{\Omega}$ and **this** gives rise to a signal amplitude drop, values **of** the **po**tentiometer should be below 1 $k\Omega$.

METHODS

Initial experiments were performed in New Zealand White rabbits to verify the strong influence of the cuff's balance on external signals. **A** 2 mm inner diameter **and** 20 mm long split cuff type **[4]** electrode was implanted around the tibial nerve. The rabbits were anaesthetized with dormicum *2* mg/kg and hypnorm 0.3 ml/kg subcutaneously. During anaestesia supplementary doses hypnorm were administered. **Cuff** material was silastic and **three** platinum foil band electrodes of 2 mm wide were used with an electrode separation of 10 mm. Data were taken at day 5 after the implantation and the experiments were part of a more extensive protocol. The amplitude of the reflex EMG, due to mechanical stimulation (indentation) of the foot sole, and the amplitude of the stimulus artifact due to electrical stimulation with a bipolar electrode in the ankle were measured with the tripolar cuff

RESULTS

electrode.

In fig. **3** the absolute value of the amplitude of the stimulus artifact is drawn **as** a function of the potentiometer position. the potentiometer had a resistance of 100 Ω .

Figure 3 Stimulus artifact vs. potmeter position. 0: entirely left, I: entirely right, 0.5: in the middle. Potmeter 100 GI. Dashed line: value of *stimulus artifact without potmeter.*

With **the potentiometer** it **was possible** to obtain *a* **slight de** crease of the artifact **(29** %). With the potmeter in the extreme positions the amplitude went up by approximately a factor *5.* The signal amplitude, however, did not change more than **3** % over the full range. The resistance between the left outer electrode and the central electrode was $1.37 \text{ k}\Omega$ and between the right and central electrode it was $1.43 \text{ k}\Omega$,

measured at 1 kHz. The dashed line gives the amplitude of the stimulus artifact with the outer electrodes interconnected. Figure *4* iliustrates the influence of cuff balancing on the recorded EMG. An unbalanced cuff clearly gives rise to a

Figure 4 Reflex-EMG contaminating the nene signal during mechanical stimulation.

CONCLUSIONS

It **is** possible to influence the recording of signals external to a tripolar cuff by balancing the outer electrodes, while this changes the recorded nerve signal only slightly. Although we have shown a relatively small improvement of the rejection of external signals in the initial experiments the results encourge further investigation on the use of **this** method.

For the neural signal the cuff electrode can be regarded **as** a signal-velocity dependent filter. For different signal propagation velocities the optimum electrode separations can **be** derived from equation **(1** .) for given electrode configurations. **It** may **also** be possible to use equation **(1** .) to design new electrode configurations for selective recording of signals from nerve fibers within a given diameter **(and** thus propagation velocity) range.

Acknowledgement: We thank Ole Sorensen and Torben Madsen for their technical assistance in the experiments.

REFERENCES

- [I] **Stein** RB, **et al., (1975), "Principles underlying new methods for chronic neural** recording", **J Can Sci Neurol, 2:235-244.**
- **[2]** Popovic **DB, et** al., **(1993), "Sensory nerve recording for closed-loop control** to **restore** motor **functions", Trans** IEEE **Biomed Eng, 9:1024-1031.**
- **[3]** Upshaw **BJ, Sinkjaer** T, **(1994), "Real-time digital signal** processing of electroneurographic signals", Proc IEEE/EMBS 16th *Ann* Int Conf, Baltimore.
- **[4] Hoffer JA, (1990),** 'Techniques to **study** spinal cord, **peripheral nene and muscle activity in freely moving** cats", **Neurometh u:65-145.**