




Example X.4.1

Let x and h denote functions, and let t denote a real number.

$x * h$  This expression denotes the **function** resulting from convolving the function x with the function h .

$(x * h)(t)$
 $x * h(t)$  Both of these expressions denote the **number** resulting from convolving the function x with the function h and then evaluating the resulting function at the point t .

$(x + h)(t)$
 $x(t) + h(t)$  These expressions have slightly different meanings (i.e., the former is **adding functions** while the latter is **adding numbers**), but they are both valid mathematical expressions and, by definition, they are **always equal** since the addition of functions is defined **pointwise** (i.e., $(x+h)(t) = x(t) + h(t)$).

$x(t) * h(t)$  Strictly speaking, this expression is **not mathematically valid**, as it is attempting to convolve the number $x(t)$ with the number $h(t)$. Both operands of a convolution operation, however, must be functions. Convolution **cannot be defined in a pointwise manner**. In other words, $(x*h)(t)$ does not equal $x(t) * h(t)$ because the latter expression is **not even mathematically valid**. Sadly, **many engineering textbooks abuse notation in this way**, and this often leads to confusion for students. Sometimes this abused notation $x(t) * h(t)$ is intended to mean $x * h$; sometimes it might mean $x * h(t)$; and yet other times it may mean something else entirely (and the reader is simply forced to guess the intended meaning).