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ABSTRACT

A new method is proposed for the design of two-channel linear-phase perfect reconstruction QMF banks. The analysis lowpass filter is first designed by a conventional method, and then the synthesis lowpass filter is obtained by using a null-space projection approach. This method is then extended to the design of two-channel perfect reconstruction QMF banks with low reconstruction delay, which are desirable in some applications. Two design examples are given to illustrate the proposed methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

The importance of quadrature-mirror-filter (QMF) banks in subband coding has been widely recognized. Since the quadrature mirror structure leads to complete cancellation of interband aliasing due to the overlapping filter responses, the design is reduced to satisfying the perfect reconstruction condition while minimizing the intra-band aliasing. Since the mid ’70’s several approaches have been proposed for the design of QMF banks [1]-[4]. Some of the design methods lead to near-perfect reconstruction QMF banks [1][2] while others lead to perfect-reconstruction QMF banks [3][4].

In this paper, a new method for the design of linear-phase perfect reconstruction QMF banks is proposed on the basis of a time-domain formulation. The analysis lowpass filter is first obtained by a conventional method and the synthesis lowpass filter is obtained by a null-space projection approach. The proposed method is then extended to the design of low-delay perfect reconstruction QMF banks which are useful in applications where long reconstruction delays are undesirable. Two design examples are presented to demonstrate the performance of the QMF banks obtained.

II. DESIGN METHOD

A. Design of Linear-Phase Perfect Reconstruction QMF Banks

Consider the two-channel filter bank shown in Fig. 1. The output and input relations of the system are given by

\[ \hat{X}(z) = \frac{1}{2}[H_0(z)G_0(z) + H_1(z)G_1(z)] \cdot X(z) + \frac{1}{2}[H_0(-z)G_0(z) + H_1(-z)G_1(z)] \cdot X(-z) \]

(1)

where the second term on the right side represents the aliasing. By assuming that \( G_1(z) = -H_0(-z) \), \( H_1(z) = G_0(-z) \), the aliasing term is cancelled and (1) becomes

\[ \hat{X}(z) = \frac{1}{2}[H_0(z)G_0(z) - H_0(-z)G_0(-z)]X(z) \]

(2)

To reconstruct the output perfectly, it is required that

\[ H_0(z)G_0(z) - H_0(-z)G_0(-z) = z^{-k_d} \]

(3)

where \( k_d \) is the system delay. If we assume that the coefficients of \( H_0(z) \) and \( G_0(z) \) are symmetrical and their lengths, \( N \) and \( M \), are even, \( M > N \), and \( N + M \) is a multiple of 4, then it can be readily shown that \( k_d \) in (3) is equal to \( (M + N)/2 - 1 \).

In the time domain, (3) can be expressed as

\[ H_g = m \]

(4)

where

\[ H = 2 \begin{bmatrix} b_1 + b_M & b_2 + b_{M-1} & \cdots & b_{M/2} + b_{M/2 + 1} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ B = \begin{bmatrix} h(1) & h(0) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
                  h(3) & h(2) & h(1) & h(0) & 0 \\
                  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
                  h(N-1) & h(N-2) & \cdots & 0 \\
                    0 & 0 & h(N-1) & \cdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \]
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\[ g = [g(0) \ g(1) \ \cdots \ g(M/2 - 1)]^T \]
\[ m = [\ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 1]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{(M+1) \times 1} \]

\( h(n) \), for \( n = 0, \ldots, N - 1 \) is the impulse response of filter \( H_0 \), and \( g(n) \), for \( n = 0, \ldots, M/2 - 1 \) is the first half of the impulse response of filter \( G_0 \). In our approach, \( H_0 \) is first designed by a conventional FIR filter design method; then the entries of \( g \) are obtained by solving the linear system of equations in (4), where we have \( (M+N)/4 \) equations and \( M/2 \) unknowns. This leads to the number of degrees of freedom
\[ f_d = \frac{M}{2} - \frac{M + N}{4} = \frac{M - N}{4} \]
\[ (5) \]

As is well known, the general solution of (4) is given by
\[ g = H^1 m + (I - H^1 H) \phi \]
\[ (6) \]
where \( H^1 \) is the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse of \( H \), and \( \phi \) is an arbitrary column vector. Note that
\[ H(I - H^1H)\phi = 0 \]
i.e., the second term on the right side of (6) represents a vector in the null space of \( H \). In other words, \( (I - H^1H)\phi \) projects an arbitrary vector \( \phi \) onto the null space of \( H \). Let
\[ H = U \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \sigma_{M+N} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \end{bmatrix} V^T \]
\[ (7) \]
be the SVD decomposition of \( H \). It can be shown that \( g \) in (6) can be written as
\[ g = S_c + V^* \phi^* \]
\[ (8) \]
where
\[ S_c = H^1 m \]
\[ = V \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^{-1} & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \sigma_{M+N}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \end{bmatrix} U^T m \]
\[ V^* = [ v_{M+N+1} \ \cdots \ v_M ] \]
\[ \phi^* = [ \phi_1^* \ \cdots \ \phi_{M+N}^* ]^T \]
\[ \]
\( v_i, \) for \( i = (M + N)/4 + 1, \ldots, M/2 \) is the \( i \)th column of matrix \( V \), and \( \phi^* \) contains \( f_d \) free parameters. On comparing (8) with (6), it is observed that the last \( f_d \) column vectors of \( V^* \) constitute a basis of the null space of \( H \); hence the problem of designing \( G_0 \) amounts to finding an optimal \( \phi^* \) such that the combination of the column vectors of \( V^*, V^* \phi^* \), leads to the minimum of
\[ E = \int_{\omega_s}^{\pi} M_2^2(\omega) \ d\omega \]
\[ = (S_c + V^* \phi^*)^T Q (S_c + V^* \phi^*) \]
\[ (9) \]
where \( \omega_s \) is the stopband edge of \( G_0 \),
\[ M_2(\omega) = 2g^T c(\omega) \]
\[ c(\omega) = [\cos(\frac{M - 1}{2}\omega) \ \cdots \ \cos(\frac{1}{2}\omega)]^T \]
\[ Q = 4 \int_{\omega_s}^{\pi} c(\omega)c(\omega)^T \ d\omega \]
and the \((i, j)\)th entry of \( Q \) is given by
\[ q_{ij} = \begin{cases} \pi - \omega_i - \frac{\pi}{a_1} \sin a_1 \omega_i & i = j \\ -\frac{\pi}{a_1} \sin a_1 \omega_i - \frac{\pi}{a_2} \sin a_2 \omega_i & i \neq j \end{cases} \]
with \( i, j = 1, \ldots, M/2 \), \( a_1 = i + j - N - 1 \), and \( a_2 = i - j \). By imposing \( V^* \phi^* = 0 \), the minimum point can be obtained as
\[ \phi^*_{opt} = -(V^* Q V^*)^{-1} V^* Q S_c \]
\[ (10) \]
and the coefficients of \( G_0(z) \) can be obtained as
\[ g^* = S_c + V^* \phi^*_{opt} \]
\[ (11) \]

The design procedure can now be summarized as follows:

**Algorithm**

**Step 1** Use a conventional method to design a linear-phase, lowpass, FIR filter \( H_0 \) whose length is \( N \).

**Step 2** Form matrices \( H \) and \( m \) as in (4).

**Step 3** Obtain the SVD of \( H \) as in (7), and calculate \( S_c \) and \( Q \) in (8) and (9).

**Step 4** Obtain \( \phi^*_{opt} \) using (10) and \( g^* \) using (11).

**B. Design of Low-Delay Perfect Reconstruction QMF Banks**

The assumption of linear-phase responses for \( H_0 \) and \( G_0 \) leads to fixed system delay \((M + N)/2 - 1\), which is sometimes undesirable in applications where the orders of the filters are high. If the assumption on symmetry of the coefficients in \( H_0(z) \) and \( G_0(z) \) is removed, then it is possible to design a filter bank with low reconstruction delay as demonstrated in [5]. We assume that the desired reconstruction delay \( k_3 \) is an odd integer. The perfect reconstruction condition in (3) can be written in the time-domain as
\[ H_{LKL} = m_L \]
\[ (12) \]
where

\[
H_L = \begin{bmatrix}
  h(1) & h(0) & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
h(3) & h(2) & h(1) & h(0) & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
h(N-1) & h(N-2) & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & h(N-1) & \ldots & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & h(N-1) & h(N-2) & \ldots
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
g_L = \begin{bmatrix} g(0) & g(1) & \ldots & g(M-1) \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

\[
m_L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{M+1 \times 1}
\]

where the \((k_d+1)\)th entry of \(m_L\) is unity. A lowpass FIR filter \(H_0\) is first designed with group delay \(k_d < (N-1)/2\) and then \(g_L\) is obtained by solving (12). In (12) there are \((M+N)/2 - 1\) equations and \(M\) unknowns. Hence the number of degrees of freedom in the design is

\[
f_d = M - \frac{M + N}{2} + 1 = \frac{M - N}{2} + 1
\]

As in the design of linear-phase QMF banks described in the preceding section, the general solution of (12) is given by

\[
g_L = H_L^T m_L + (I - H_L^T H_L) \phi_L
\]

\[
= S_{CL} + V_L^T \phi_L^*
\]

where

\[
S_{CL} = H_L^T m_L
\]

\[
V_L^* = \begin{bmatrix} v_{M+N} & \ldots & v_M \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\phi_L^* = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^* & \ldots & \phi_{M+N+1}^* \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

\(v_i\), for \(i = (M+N)/2, \ldots, M\), is the \(i\)th column of the right orthogonal matrix in the SVD decomposition of matrix \(H_L\), and \(\phi_i^*\) is an arbitrary vector of dimension \((M-N)/2 + 1\).

The coefficients of the transfer function constitute vector \(g_L^*\) given by (14) where parameter vector \(\phi_L^*\) is determined by minimizing the objective function

\[
E_L = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |G_0(e^{i\omega}) - e^{-j\omega k_d}|^2 d\omega + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |G_0(e^{i\omega})|^2 d\omega
\]

\[
= \phi_L^T (V_L^T Q_L V_L) \phi_L^* + 2\phi_L^T (V_L^T Q_L S_{CL}) - V_L^T d_L + \omega_p + S_{CL}^T Q_L S_{CL}
\]

where

\[
Q_L = \begin{bmatrix}
  \pi + \omega_p - \omega_s \\
  \psi(\omega_p, \omega_s, 1) & \psi(\omega_p, \omega_s, 1) \\
  \vdots & \psi(\omega_p, \omega_s, N-1) \\
  \psi(\omega_p, \omega_s, N-1) & \pi + \omega_p - \omega_s
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\psi(\omega_p, \omega_s, k) = \frac{1}{k} (\sin k\omega_p - \sin k\omega_s)
\]

\[
d_L = \text{Re} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} c_L(\omega) e^{j\omega k_d} d\omega
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix}
  \frac{1}{k^{2}c_{2}} \sin(k_{d}+\omega_p) \\
  \frac{1}{k^{2}c_{2}} \sin(k_{d}+\omega_p) \\
  \vdots \\
  \frac{1}{k^{2}c_{2}-1} \sin(k_{d}+\omega_p)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\phi_L^* = -(V_L^T Q_L V_L)^{-1} (V_L^T Q_L S_{CL} - V_L^T d_L)
\]

(16)

and the coefficients of \(G_0(z)\) are given by

\[
g_L^* = S_{CL} + V_L^T \phi_L^* \phi_L^* \text{opt}
\]

(17)

III. DESIGN EXAMPLES

We now present two design examples to illustrate the proposed algorithms. The related computer programs were written in MATLAB and run on a Sun SPARC station. The first example is to design a linear-phase perfect reconstruction QMF bank with design specifications \(N = 20, M = 32, \omega_s = 0.61\pi\). The analysis lowpass filter \(H_0\) was designed by the window method with passband and stopband edges 0.44\pi and 0.61\pi rad/s, respectively. The performance of the filter bank obtained is evaluated in terms of the peak-reconstruction error (PRE) defined by \(\text{PRE} = \max_{\omega} \frac{1}{\omega} \log_{10} \left( \frac{|H_0(e^{i\omega})| G_0(e^{i\omega}) - H_0(e^{i(\omega_1 + \pi)}) G_0(e^{i(\omega_1 + \pi)})}{|G_0(e^{i(\omega_1 + \pi)})|} \right)\), and the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) defined by

\[
\text{SNR} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\text{energy of input signal}}{\text{energy of the reconstruction error}} \right)
\]

\[
= 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\sum x^2(n)}{\sum [\hat{x}(n) - \hat{x}(n + k_d)]^2} \right)
\]

The SNR was calculated with a random input signal with a uniformly distributed amplitude. The results obtained are listed in Table 1, and the amplitude responses of the analysis lowpass and highpass filters are depicted in Fig. 2.

The second example is a low-delay perfect reconstruction QMF bank with \(N = 26, M = 34, k_d = 15, \omega_p = 0.45\pi, \omega_s = 0.65\pi\). The analysis lowpass filter \(H_0\) was first designed by a least-squares approach with passband and stopband edges 0.5\pi and 0.65\pi rad/s, respectively, and \(k_d = 7.5\). The results obtained are given in Table 1, and the amplitude responses of the
**Table I**

Performance evaluations of obtained QMF banks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Example 1</th>
<th>Example 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRE (dB)</td>
<td>$5.79 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$3.66 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR (dB)</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table II**

The outputs of Exp. 1 and Exp. 2 with a ramp input

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$z(n)$</th>
<th>Example 1</th>
<th>Example 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00000000000000</td>
<td>1.00000000000000</td>
<td>1.00000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00000000000000</td>
<td>2.00000000000000</td>
<td>2.00000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.00000000000000</td>
<td>3.00000000000000</td>
<td>3.00000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.00000000000000</td>
<td>4.00000000000000</td>
<td>4.00000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.00000000000000</td>
<td>5.00000000000000</td>
<td>5.00000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.00000000000000</td>
<td>6.00000000000000</td>
<td>6.00000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.00000000000000</td>
<td>7.00000000000000</td>
<td>7.00000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.00000000000000</td>
<td>8.00000000000000</td>
<td>8.00000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.00000000000000</td>
<td>9.00000000000000</td>
<td>9.00000000000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.00000000000000</td>
<td>10.00000000000000</td>
<td>10.00000000000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis lowpass and highpass filters are depicted in Fig. 3.

The performance of the obtained filter banks can also be checked by observing the output when the input is a ramp signal. As shown in Table II, for both examples the reconstructed signals were exactly the same as the input signal to within 13 significant digits after the decimal point.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

A new method for the design of linear-phase perfect reconstruction QMF banks has been proposed and it was then extended to the design of low-delay perfect reconstruction QMF banks. From the design example demonstrated it can be noted that the proposed method leads to perfect reconstruction in both the linear-phase and the low-delay cases.
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